DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Agnes Dwita Susilawati, Ahmad Hanfan, & Fetalia Haryanti Anugrah. (2021). *Pengaruh Brand Image, Brand Ambassador, Word Of Mouth dan Testimony In Social Media terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Hijab Sulthanah di Kota Tegal.* DIALEKTIKA : Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Ilmu Sosial, *6*(1), 35–43.

Ali Hasan. 2008. Marketing. Media Utama. Yogyakarta

Amanah, A, D., Pujianto, A., & Andayani, S. (2022). Pengaruh Brand Ambassador, Digital Marketing Dan Merchandise Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Lemonilo Pada Nctzen Indonesia. Seminar Nasional Hasil Skripsi, 1(01), 246–249.

Aminullah, A., Gani, A. J. A., Suryadi, S., & Hariyono, B. S. (2022). Public Policy Implementation Effectiveness (Case Study Of Village Fund Implementation In Linggo Pasuruan). *Wacana Journal of Social and Humanity Studies*, *25*(2).

Astuti, Y., Zulkarnain, M., & Mukarramah. (2019). *Pengaruh Diferensiasi Produk Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Pada Jasuke Cikarasa Langsa*. Jurnal Investasi Islam, *4*(2), 141–152.

Autica, F. Delliana, S. (2022). *Pengaruh Brand Ambassador Bts Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Produk Fila Di Kalangan Penggemar Di Twitter*. Ilmu, Jurnal Efek, Komunikasi, *5*(2), 182–190.

Basu Swastha. (2017). *Manajemen Pemasaran.* Tangerang Selatan: Universitas Terbuka

Elitasari, N., & Shafariah, H. (2022). *Pengaruh Korean Wave Dan Brand Ambassador Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Kosmetik Korea Nature Republic.* JAMBIS : Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis, *2*(5), 703–717.

Fadilah, N. L., & Huda, M. (2024). Pengaruh Storytelling Marketing dan Citra Destinasi terhadap Minat Berkunjung Kembali dengan Tourist Satisfaction sebagai Variabel Intervening pada Pengunjung Tanaria Park. AKADEMIK: Jurnal Mahasiswa Humanis, 4(3), 1136-1147.

Fauzi, L. N., Arisman, A., & Muhamad Pauzy, D. M. (2022). Pengaruh Inovasi Produk dan Persepsi Harga terhadap Keputusan Pembelian (Survei pada Konsumen UMKM Habib Collection Kabupaten Tasikmalaya). Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin, 1(10), 3692–3697.

Febrina, M., Sampurno, & Djoharsjah. (2017). *Pengaruh Brand Ambassador Dan Hallyu Terhadap Keputusan Konsumen Melakukan Brand Switching dan Implikasinya terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Kosmetik Di Jakarta*. Jurnal Ekonomi, *19*(3), 299–313.

Gunawan, D., & Maftuchach, V. (2022). *Pengaruh Diferensiasi Produk Dan Harga Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Konsumen Produk Smartphone Samsung. Portofolio:* Jurnal Manajemen Dan Bisnis, *1*(1), 17–32.

Ghozali, I. (2016a). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariete Dengan Program IBM SPSS 23* (VIII). Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.

..... (2016b). Aplikasi Analisis multivariete dengan program IBM SPSS 23 (Edisi 8). *Cetakan Ke VIII. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro*, *96*.

Hasibuan, M. (2021). *Pengaruh Diferensiasi Produk Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Pada Oloan Boutique.* Jurnal Ekonomi, *23*(3), 246–253.

Irawan, H, 2008. *Membedah strategi Kepuasan pelanggan.* Cetakan pertama:

PT Gramedia: Jakarta

Jasgunawan, A. (2022). *Pengaruh Diferensiasi Produk Wesel Pos Terhadap Pelayanan Pada Pt Pos Indonesia (Studi Pada Kantor Pos Watampone)*. *14*(2), 72–85.

Jauhari, I. (2020). *Pengaruh Diferensiasi Produk, Ekuitas Merek Dan Inovasi* Produk Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Produk Elektronik Korea Selatan. Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Bisnis, *25*(3), 226–237.

Johari, M., & Supriyono. (2021). *Pengaruh Diferensiasi Produk dan Citra Merek Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Sepatu Bata (Studi Pada Bata Plaza Surabaya)*. Al-Kharaj : Jurnal Ekonomi, Keuangan & Bisnis Syariah, *4*(3), 745–755.

Kotler, Keller. (2016). *Marketing management.* 15e, Boston, Pearson Education.

Kotler, P. (2017). *Manajemen Pemasaran, Analisis Perencanaan, pengendalian,* Suprapto. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Kotler, P., & Kevin, L. K. (2009) *Marketing Management 13rd Edition.*Pearson Prentice Hall: New Jersey.

Kurniawan, B. W., & Saputra, B. M. (2022). *Brand Ambassador Dan E-Word Of Mouth Pengaruhnya Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Melalui Keputusan Pembelian pada Marketplace Indonesia.* Aksara: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Nonformal, *8*(3), 1967.

Larasati, T, A. Amalia, N, R. Mawardani, M. (2022) *Pengaruh Idol Kpop Sebagai Brand Ambassador, Brand Image Dan Brand Awarenes Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Mie Lemonilo.* Jurnal Ilmiah Bidang Ilmu Ekonomi

.Vol. 20, No. Hal 403 - 413

Lea-Greenwood, G. (2012). Fashion Marketing Communications E-book. Wiley.

Lestari, D., & Bernika, C. (2022). Pengaruh Diferensiasi Produk Dan Citra Merek Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Essentieel Coffee Shop Di Bandung. Transekonomika: Akuntansi, Bisnis Dan Keuangan, 2(5), 449–468.

Murtadlo, K. (2022). Analysis of The Effect of Experience and Trust on The Tourism Economy After The Phenomenon of KKN DESA PENARI. *Quantitative Economics and Management Studies*, *3*(4), 592-606.

Pandensolang, J, D. Tawas, N. (2015). *Pengaruh Diferensiasi, Kualitas Produk Dan Ekuitas Merek Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Coca-Cola Pada Pt. Bangun Wenang Beverges Company Di Manado.* Jurnal Emba, *3*(3), 1113–1124.

Pane, D. H., & Purba, T. (2020). *Pengaruh Kualitas Produk, Kualitas Pelayanan Dan Kepercayaan Konsumen Terhadap Minat Beli Di Situs E-Commerce Bukalapak*. Jurnal Ilmiah Kohesi, *4*(3), 149–155.

Pewarta, B. A. B., Farida, N., & Prihatini, A. E. (2022). P*engaruh Diferensiasi Produk dan Social Media Marketing terhadap Keputusan Pembelian melalui Minat Beli sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi pada Konsumen Pizza Hut Buaran Plaza Jakarta)*. Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Bisnis, *11*(4), 730–738.

Poernomo, T. T. (2021). *Stimuli pengaruh brand ambassador terhadap purchase intention melalui mediasi consumer satisfaction*. Jurnal Manajemen, *13*(3), 515–525.

Probosini, D. A., Hidayat, N., & Yusuf, M. (2021). *Pengaruh Promosi dan Brand Ambassador terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Pengguna Market Place X dengan Brand Image sebagai Variabel Intervening.* Jurnal Bisnis, Manajemen, Dan Keuangan, *2*(2), 445–458.

Purwati, A. A., Kristanto, K., & Suhermin. (2019). Analisis Pengaruh Brand Image, Diferensiasi Produk dan Strategi Relationship Marketing Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Mobil Toyota dikota Pekanbaru (Studi Kasus PT Agung Toyota Harapan Raya). Effect of Work Motivation, Organizational Commitment, and Organizational Culture on Work Satisfaction and Performance of Teachers Inpublic Junior High School Pekanbaru, 7(2), 210–221.

Rakhmawati, A., Rahardjo, K., Prakasa, Y., & Nizar, M. (2023). Dampak Kondisi Sosial Ekonomi, Respon Kebijakan Pemerintah Dan Pengaruhnya Terhadap Keberlanjutan Ukm Dan Kinerja Keuangan. Jurnal Sekretaris dan Administrasi Bisnis, 7(2), 93-110.

Rini, R. S. (2023). Efektivitas Pelaksanaan Program Advokasi Kebijakan Stunting (Studi Di Dinas P3ap2kb Kabupaten Pasuruan) (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Yudharta).

Riyanto, S., & Hatmawan, A, A. (2020). Metode Riset Penelitian Kuantitatif Penelitian di Bidang Manajemen, Teknik, Pendidikan, dan Eksperimen. Yogyakarta: Deepublish.

Royan, Frans M. (2004). Marketing Selebrities "Selebriti Dalam Iklan dan Strategi Selebriti Memasarkan Diri Sendiri". Jakarta : Alex Media.

Saputri, M. E. (2016). Pengaruh Perilaku Konsumen Terhadap Pembelian Online Produk Fashion Pada Zalora Indonesia the Effect of Consumer Behavior Toward the Online Purchase of Fashion Product of Zalora Indonesia. Sosioteknologi, 15(2), 291–297.

Sopiyan, P. (2021). Pengaruh Persepsi Kualitas Dan Diferensiasi Produk Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian. Jurnal Co Management, 3(2), 471–478.

Sterie, W, G., Massie, J, D., & Soepono, D. (2019). Pengaruh Brand Ambassador Dan Brand Image Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Produk Pt. Telesindo Shop Sebagai Distributor Utama Telkomsel Di Manado. Jurnal EMBA, 7(4), 3139–3148.

Silfiah, R. I. (2023). ANALISIS KINERJA BIROKRASI PEMERINTAHAN DESA PADA PERANGKAT DESA PAREREJO KECAMATAN PURWODADI KABUPATEN PASURUAN JAWA TIMUR. Journal Publicuho, 6(3), 831-838.

Silfiah, R. I., & Rossa, S. S. (2023). Pengelolaan Retribusi Pasar dalam Meningkatkan Pendapatan Asli Daerah di Kota Pandaan. SKETSA BISNIS (e-jurnal), 10(2), 236-253.

Silfiah, R. I., & Jannah, R. (2023). Pengembangan Wisata Halal Pemandian Alam Banyubiru di Kabupaten Pasuruan dalam Meningkatkan Ekonomi Lokal. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Negara ASIAN (Asosiasi Ilmuwan Administrasi Negara)*, *11*(2), 15-30.

Sugiyono. 2009. *Statistik Untuk Penelitian*. Bandung: Alfabeta

Sugiono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D. Bandung :* Alfabeta.

Somantri, B. (2021). *Kontribusi Etnosentrisme Dan Gaya Hidup Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Baju Batik Sebagai Identitas Diri*. *CAKRAWALA – Repositori IMWI, 4*.

Suwardi. 2011. *Menuju Kepuasan Pelanggan Melalui Penciptaan Kualitas*

Pelayanan. Jurnal Pengembangan Humaniora volume 11 edisi 1.

Tjiptono, Fandy dan Gregorius Chandra. 2011. Service Quality & Satisfaction Edisi ketiga. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset.

Ujang Sumarwan. (2017). Perilaku Konsumen. Tangerang Selatan: Universitas Terbuka

Village, L. Principal-Agent in Implementing Village funds in Linggo Village, Kejayan District, Pasuruan Regency.

Waluyan, G. Lumanauw, B., & Rogi M. (2023). Pengaruh Kualitas Produk, Bran Ambassador dan Korean Wave Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Pada Mahasiswa S-1 Pengguna Skincare Ratulangi Manado The Effect Of Product Quality , Brand Ambassador , And Korean Wave On Purchase Decisions In S-1 Students Of Facult. ISSN 2303-1174, 11(1), 42–51.

Wusko, A. U., & Khoviyah, S. N. (2024). PENGARUH DAYA TARIK WISATA TERHADAP MEMORABLE TOURISM EXPERIENCE DAN REVISIT INTENTION (Survey Pengunjung Wisata Hawai Waterpark Malang). Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Bisnis, 13(1), 199-207

Woman Sensual Dance di Social Media Tiktok dalam Relasinya dengan Stigmatisasi Perempuan dan Imitative Culture: (Tinjauan Analisis Wacana Sara Mills)

Yanthi, A. D., Hadi, P., & Astuti, M. (2020). Peran Korea Wave, Lifestyle Dan Brand Ambassador Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Produk Innisfree. Prosiding Biema, 1(1), 179–195.

Yusuf, D. A., Tumbel, A. L., & Woran, D. (2022). Pengaruh Kualitas Produk Dan Brand Ambassador Kpop Nct Dream Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Pada Produk Mie Lemonilo Di Manado. Jurnal EMBA : Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi, 10(3), 965.

Zuhdi, S., & Irawanti, S. (2020). Pengaruh Diferensiasi Produk Dan Kualitas Pelayanan Terhadap Tingkat Kepuasan Pelanggan (Studi Kasus Di Restoran Roast Chicken & Pizza Meteran). Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan, 4(1), 022–027

Zulaikhah, S., Wusko, A. U, & Kusumawati, A. (2023). The Influence of Destination Image and Islamic Value On Perceived Value and Satisfactin (Survey On Moslem Tourist of Halal Tourism In Banyuwangi). *JPAS (Journal of Public Administration Studies)*, *8*(1), 50-55.

LAMPIRAN 1

KUESIONER

Pengaruh *Brand Ambassdor NCT Dream* dan *Product Differentiation* Terhadap *Purchase Decision* dan *Customer Satisfaction* Produk Mie Lemonilo (Survey Pada *Fandom Nctzen*)

Mohon dengan hormat kesediaan Sdri untuk menjawab pertanyaan dibawah ini dengan memberikan tanda (√) :

1. Nama :
2. Jenis Kelamin : Perempuan
3. Usia (minimal 17 tahun) :
4. Pendidikan : 1. SMA 3. Sarjana
   2. Diploma 4. Pasca Sarjana
5. Pekerjaan/Status :

☐ 1. Pelajar ☐ 3. Pegawai Swasta

☐ 2. Mahasiswa ☐ 4. Lain-lain, Sebutkan....

6. Gaji/Pendapatan : :
7. Nama Perusahaan/Instansi :
8. Agama :
9. Minimal Pembelian : 1x Pembelian produk Mie Lemonilo
10. Domisili :

Petunjuk Pengisian Angket

Berilah tanda (√) pada kolom Ibu / Sdri pilih sesuai keadaan yang sebenarnya, dengan alternatif jawaban sebagai berikut :

5 = Sangat Setuju (SS)

4 = Setuju (S)

3 = Netral (N)

2 = Tidak Setuju (TS)

1 = Sangat Tidak Setuju (STS)

1. Variabel *Brand Ambassador*

Tabel 1.1 Analisis Kuisioner, *Brand Ambassador NCT Dream*

No.	Pernyataan	Jawaban				
		STS	TS	N	S	SS
1	*NCT Dream* memiliki daya tarik yang dapat mempengaruhi *Fandom Nctzen* untuk membeli produk Mie Lemonilo					
2	*NCT Dream* merupakan sosok yang dapat dipercaya dalam memberikan informasi tentang produk Mie Lemonilo					
3	*NCT Dream* memiliki keahlian yang dapat mempengaruhi *Fandom Nctzen* untuk membeli produk Mie Lemonilo					

2. Variabel *Product Differentiation*

Tabel 1.2 Analisis Kuisioner, *Product Differentiation*

No.	Pernyataan	Jawaban				
		STS	**TS**	**N**	**S**	**S**
4	Mie Lemonilo memiliki bentuk produk tersendiri dibanding produk pesaing lainnya					
5	Mie Lemonilo memiliki karakteristik yang memiliki fungsi dasar produk dibanding produk pesaing lainnya					
6	Mie Lemonilo kualitas kinerja produk tersendiri					
7	Mie Lemonilo kualitas kesesuaian produk tersendiri					
8	Mie Lemonilo memiliki daya tahan produk tersendiri					
9	Mie Lemonilo produk yang mudah diperbaiki					
10	Mie Lemonilo memiliki gaya tersendiri dibanding produk pesaing lainnya					
11	Mie Lemonilo memiliki rancangan tersendiri dibanding produk pesaing lainnya					

3. Variabel *Purchase Decision*

Tabel 1.2 Analisis Kuisioner, *Purchase Decision*

No.	Pernyataan	Jawaban			
		STS	TS	S	S
12	Saya memutuskan untuk membeli Mie Lemonilo karena sesuai dengan kebutuhan				
13	Saya mencari informasi dari banyak sumber mengenai produk Mie Lemonilo				
14	Saya memutuskan untuk membeli Mie Lemonilo setelah mengevaluasi beberapa alternatif				
15	Saya memutuskan untuk membeli Mie Lemonilo karena melihat Merek				
16	Saya selalu melakukan pembelian ulang kembali Mie Lemonilo				

4. Variabel *Customer Satisfaction*

Tabel 1.2 Analisis Kuisioner, *Customer Satisfaction*

No.	Pernyataan	Jawaban			
		STS	TS	S	S
17	Saya merasa puas dengan produk Mie Lemonilo				
18	Saya akan selalu membeli Mie Lemonilo				
19	Saya akan merekomendasikan Mie Lemonilo kepada orang lain				
20	Saya merasa produk Mie Lemonilo sesuai dengan harapan				

LAMPIRAN 3

UJI VALIDITAS

Correlations

		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	Total_X1
X1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	,402**	,772**	,886**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100
X1.2	Pearson Correlation	,402**	1	,430**	,723**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100
X1.3	Pearson Correlation	,772**	,430**	1	,881**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000
	N	100	100	100	100
Total_X1	Pearson Correlation	,886**	,723**	,881**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	
	N	100	100	100	100

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

		X2.1	X2.2	X2.3	X2.4	X2.5	X2.6	X2.7	X2.8	Total_X2
X2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	,546**	,446**	,499**	,475**	,273**	,507**	,374**	,711**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000	,000	,006	,000	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
X2.2	Pearson Correlation	,546**	1	,485**	,541**	,486**	,381**	,475**	,470**	,754**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
X2.3	Pearson Correlation	,446**	,485**	1	,554**	,520**	,329**	,357**	,561**	,727**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000	,000	,001	,000	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
X2.4	Pearson Correlation	,499**	,541**	,554**	1	,506**	,426**	,594**	,562**	,810**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
X2.5	Pearson Correlation	,475**	,486**	,520**	,506**	1	,328**	,487**	,404**	,721**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000		,001	,000	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
X2.6	Pearson Correlation	,273**	,381**	,329**	,426**	,328**	1	,260**	,345**	,590**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,006	,000	,001	,000	,001		,009	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

X2.7	Pearson Correlation	,507**	,475**	,357**	,594**	,487**	,260**	1	,565**	,734**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,009		,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
X2.8	Pearson Correlation	,374**	,470**	,561**	,562**	,404**	,345**	,565**	1	,741**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total_X2	Pearson Correlation	,711**	,754**	,727**	,810**	,721**	,590**	,734**	,741**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

Correlations

		Y1.1	Y1.2	Y1.3	Y1.4	Y1.5	Total_Y1
Y1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	,176	,454**	,413**	,252*	,664**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,080	,000	,000	,012	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y1.2	Pearson Correlation	,176	1	,330**	,228*	,448**	,650**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,080		,001	,023	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100

Y1.3	Pearson Correlation	,454**	,330**	1	,331**	,429**	,746**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,001		,001	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y1.4	Pearson Correlation	,413**	,228*	,331**	1	,263**	,652**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,023	,001		,008	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y1.5	Pearson Correlation	,252*	,448**	,429**	,263**	1	,700**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,012	,000	,000	,008		,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total_Y1	Pearson Correlation	,664**	,650**	,746**	,652**	,700**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

Correlations

		Y2.1	Y2.2	Y2.3	Y2.4	Total_Y2
Y2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	,132	,624**	,354**	,770**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,190	,000	,000	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100
Y2.2	Pearson Correlation	,132	1	,151	,317**	,573**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,190		,134	,001	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100
Y2.3	Pearson Correlation	,624**	,151	1	,186	,712**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,134		,064	,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100
Y2.4	Pearson Correlation	,354**	,317**	,186	1	,688**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,001	,064		,000
	N	100	100	100	100	100
Total_Y2	Pearson Correlation	,770**	,573**	,712**	,688**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	
	N	100	100	100	100	100

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

UJI RELIABILITAS

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	100	100,0
	Excluded[a]	0	,0
	Total	100	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,774	3

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	100	100,0
	Excluded[a]	0	,0
	Total	100	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,868	8

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	100	100,0
	Excluded[a]	0	,0
	Total	100	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,713	5

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	100	100,0
	Excluded[a]	0	,0
	Total	100	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,626	4

UJI MULTIKOLONIERITAS, ANALISIS JALUR, UJI HIPOTESIS, UJI KOEFISIEN DETERMINASI

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed[a]

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_X2, Total_X1[b]	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y1

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary[b]

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	,630[a]	,397	,384	2,221

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_X2, Total_X1

b. Dependent Variable: Total_Y1

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	314,308	2	157,154	31,869	,000[b]
	Residual	478,332	97	4,931		
	Total	792,640	99			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y1

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_X2, Total_X1

Coefficients[a]

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	5,074	1,737		2,922	,004		
	Total_X1	,159	,134	,114	1,186	,238	,676	1,479
	Total_X2	,347	,060	,558	5,818	,000	,676	1,479

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y1

Collinearity Diagnostics[a]

Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	Variance Proportions		
				(Constant)	Total_X1	Total_X2
1	1	2,979	1,000	,00	,00	,00
	2	,012	15,550	,65	,69	,00
	3	,008	18,928	,35	,31	1,00

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y1

Residuals Statistics[a]

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	14,67	21,34	18,56	1,782	100
Residual	-6,126	4,209	,000	2,198	100
Std. Predicted Value	-2,181	1,559	,000	1,000	100
Std. Residual	-2,758	1,896	,000	,990	100

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y1

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed[a]

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_Y1, Total_X1, Total_X2[b]	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y2

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary[b]

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	,711[a]	,506	,490	1,579

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_Y1, Total_X1, Total_X2

b. Dependent Variable: Total_Y2

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	244,758	3	81,586	32,712	,000[b]
	Residual	239,432	96	2,494		
	Total	484,190	99			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y2

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_Y1, Total_X1, Total_X2

Coefficients[a]

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	4,037	1,288		3,134	,002		
	Total_X1	,076	,096	,070	,795	,429	,667	1,500
	Total_X2	,229	,049	,472	4,657	,000	,501	1,995
	Total_Y1	,206	,072	,264	2,853	,005	,603	1,657

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y2

Collinearity Diagnostics[a]

Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	Variance Proportions			
				(Constant)	Total_X1	Total_X2	Total_Y1
1	1	3,968	1,000	,00	,00	,00	,00
	2	,014	17,102	,03	,77	,00	,33
	3	,011	18,679	,94	,05	,03	,26
	4	,007	24,218	,03	,18	,97	,41

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y2

Residuals Statistics[a]

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	13,24	19,51	16,41	1,572	100
Residual	-5,025	3,005	,000	1,555	100
Std. Predicted Value	-2,014	1,970	,000	1,000	100
Std. Residual	-3,182	1,903	,000	,985	100

a. Dependent Variable: Total_Y2

UJI HETEROSKEDASTISITAS

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			Total_X1	Total_X2	Unstandardized Residual
Spearman's rho	Total_X1	Correlation Coefficient	1,000	,534**	-,044
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	,000	,664
		N	100	100	100
	Total_X2	Correlation Coefficient	,534**	1,000	,019
		Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	.	,852
		N	100	100	100
	Unstandardized Residual	Correlation Coefficient	-,044	,019	1,000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	,664	,852	.
		N	100	100	100

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

UJI NORMALITAS

NPar Tests

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual
N		100
Normal Parameters[a,b]	Mean	,0000000
	Std. Deviation	2,19809938
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	,072
	Positive	,053
	Negative	-,072
Test Statistic		,072
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		,200[c,d]

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

d. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

NPar Tests

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual
N		100
Normal Parameters[a,b]	Mean	,0000000
	Std. Deviation	1,55515408
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	,089
	Positive	,036
	Negative	-,089
Test Statistic		,089
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		,048[c]

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

NPar Tests

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

			Unstandardized Residual
N			100
Normal Parameters[a,b]	Mean		,0000000
	Std. Deviation		1,55515408
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute		,089
	Positive		,036
	Negative		-,089
Test Statistic			,089
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)			,048[c]
Monte Carlo Sig. (2-tailed)	Sig.		,381[d]
	99% Confidence Interval	Lower Bound	,369
		Upper Bound	,394

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

d. Based on 10000 sampled tables with starting seed 1314643744.

ANALISIS DESKRIPTIF

Frequencies

Frequency Table

X1.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	STS	1	1,0	1,0	1,0
	TS	3	3,0	3,0	4,0
	N	16	16,0	16,0	20,0
	S	32	32,0	32,0	52,0
	SS	48	48,0	48,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X1.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	2	2,0	2,0	2,0
	N	18	18,0	18,0	20,0
	S	44	44,0	44,0	64,0
	SS	36	36,0	36,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X1.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	N	17	17,0	17,0	17,0
	S	31	31,0	31,0	48,0
	SS	52	52,0	52,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X2.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	2	2,0	2,0	2,0
	N	17	17,0	17,0	19,0
	S	37	37,0	37,0	56,0
	SS	44	44,0	44,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X2.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	N	21	21,0	21,0	21,0
	S	40	40,0	40,0	61,0
	SS	39	39,0	39,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X2.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	N	21	21,0	21,0	21,0
	S	45	45,0	45,0	66,0
	SS	34	34,0	34,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X2.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	3	3,0	3,0	3,0
	N	16	16,0	16,0	19,0
	S	44	44,0	44,0	63,0
	SS	37	37,0	37,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X2.5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	1	1,0	1,0	1,0
	N	17	17,0	17,0	18,0
	S	43	43,0	43,0	61,0
	SS	39	39,0	39,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X2.6

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	STS	1	1,0	1,0	1,0
	TS	5	5,0	5,0	6,0
	N	29	29,0	29,0	35,0
	S	44	44,0	44,0	79,0
	SS	21	21,0	21,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X2.7

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	2	2,0	2,0	2,0
	N	15	15,0	15,0	17,0
	S	37	37,0	37,0	54,0
	SS	46	46,0	46,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

X2.8

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	2	2,0	2,0	2,0
	N	22	22,0	22,0	24,0
	S	41	41,0	41,0	65,0
	SS	35	35,0	35,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Total_X2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	22	1	1,0	1,0	1,0
	24	7	7,0	7,0	8,0
	25	1	1,0	1,0	9,0
	26	3	3,0	3,0	12,0
	27	1	1,0	1,0	13,0
	28	4	4,0	4,0	17,0
	29	7	7,0	7,0	24,0
	30	4	4,0	4,0	28,0
	31	4	4,0	4,0	32,0
	32	13	13,0	13,0	45,0
	33	1	1,0	1,0	46,0
	34	4	4,0	4,0	50,0
	35	10	10,0	10,0	60,0
	36	17	17,0	17,0	77,0
	37	7	7,0	7,0	84,0

	38	9	9,0	9,0	93,0
	39	3	3,0	3,0	96,0
	40	4	4,0	4,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y1.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	4	4,0	4,0	4,0
	N	45	45,0	45,0	49,0
	S	35	35,0	35,0	84,0
	SS	16	16,0	16,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y1.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	STS	3	3,0	3,0	3,0
	TS	5	5,0	5,0	8,0
	N	43	43,0	43,0	51,0
	S	38	38,0	38,0	89,0
	SS	11	11,0	11,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y1.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	4	4,0	4,0	4,0
	N	32	32,0	32,0	36,0
	S	40	40,0	40,0	76,0
	SS	24	24,0	24,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y1.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	5	5,0	5,0	5,0
	N	36	36,0	36,0	41,0
	S	40	40,0	40,0	81,0
	SS	19	19,0	19,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y1.5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	2	2,0	2,0	2,0
	N	34	34,0	34,0	36,0
	S	39	39,0	39,0	75,0
	SS	25	25,0	25,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y2.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	2	2,0	2,0	2,0
	N	24	24,0	24,0	26,0
	S	42	42,0	42,0	68,0
	SS	32	32,0	32,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y2.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	2	2,0	2,0	2,0
	N	15	15,0	15,0	17,0
	S	42	42,0	42,0	59,0
	SS	41	41,0	41,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y2.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	1	1,0	1,0	1,0
	N	25	25,0	25,0	26,0
	S	39	39,0	39,0	65,0
	SS	35	35,0	35,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Y2.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TS	6	6,0	6,0	6,0
	N	14	14,0	14,0	20,0
	S	47	47,0	47,0	67,0
	SS	33	33,0	33,0	100,0
	Total	100	100,0	100,0	

Descriptives

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
X1.1	100	1	5	4,23	,897
X1.2	100	2	5	4,14	,779
X1.3	100	3	5	4,35	,757
X2.1	100	2	5	4,23	,802
X2.2	100	3	5	4,18	,757
X2.3	100	3	5	4,13	,734
X2.4	100	2	5	4,15	,796
X2.5	100	2	5	4,20	,752
X2.6	100	1	5	3,79	,868
X2.7	100	2	5	4,27	,790
X2.8	100	2	5	4,09	,805
Y1.1	100	2	5	3,63	,800
Y1.2	100	1	5	3,49	,870
Y1.3	100	2	5	3,84	,838

Y1.4	100	2	5	3,73	,827
Y1.5	100	2	5	3,87	,812
Y2.1	100	2	5	4,04	,803
Y2.2	100	2	5	4,22	,773
Y2.3	100	2	5	4,08	,800
Y2.4	100	2	5	4,07	,844
Valid N (listwise)	100				